

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/685,309	685,309 10/14/2003		Philip Andrew Frank	005127.00467 9840		
22909	7590	09/14/2005		EXAMINER		
BANNER		•	MITCHELL, KATHERINE W			
1001 G STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4597				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3677	-	

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•		
Advisory Action	10/685,309	FRANK, PHILIP ANDREW			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	*		
	Katherine W. Mitchell	3677	-		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 30 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	• •	36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to within the time period set forth in 3	avoid dismissal of th 7 CFR 41.37(a).	e appeal. Since		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause		
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	*		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11		mpliant Amendment (	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		tionali. Elad amandona	mt namenline the		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		l be entered and an e	explanation of		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		شبيغ.			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·		%-		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:		
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	Laylung N Katherine W Mitche	while		
		Examiner Art Unit: 3677	•		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: 30 claims cancelled,31 new claims submitted. New claims require further consideration after final.